The FDA & EU New and Coming Standards for Al/ML in Medical Devices



WE SIMPLIFY MARKET ACCESS FOR DIGITAL HEALTH AND MEDICAL DEVICES COMPANYS



IEC 62304:2015 Software Life Cycle Processes



Visit our website

https://meddevsoft.com

Development & Regulation

Ensuring Patient Access to Safe & Effective AI/ML-Enabled Medical Devices

Updated in October 2023

~ 700 Authorizations of AI/ML-Enabled Medical Devices

- 1. A public resource on these devices and the FDA's work in this area
- 2. Show how Al/ML is being used across medical disciplines



FDA's innovative pathways -Predetermined Change Control Plan (PCCP) Good Machine Learning Practice (GMLP)

FDA's Collaborative Patient-Centered Approach to Al-Enabled Devices



We're working collaboratively with stakeholders to build a proactive, patientcentered approach to Al-enabled devices that promotes health equity.

MedDev Soft Development & Regulation

EU's comprehensive AI Act for risk-based regulation of all AI systems



EU AI Act

Proposal for a

Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council Laying Down Harmonsed Rules on Artificial Intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act) and Amending Certain Union Legislative Acts

2021/0106 (COD)

European Commission Al in Europe will create a unique ecosystem of trust.

Must ensure compliance with EU rules, including the rules protecting fundamental rights and consumers' rights, in particular for Al systems operated in the EU that pose a high risk.

Balanced and proportionate horizontal regulatory approach to Al - minimum necessary requirements to address the risks and problems linked to Al, without unduly constraining or hindering technological development or otherwise disproportionately increasing the cost of placing Al solutions on the market.



EU's comprehensive AI Act for risk-based regulation of all AI systems

On February 2, European Union Member States endorsed the world's first comprehensive legal framework regulating artificial intelligence in the EU.

The EU's Artificial Intelligence Act (AI Act) applies across industries—from medical device manufacturers to consumer app developers.

Once the European Parliament and European Commission formally adopt the AI Act, developers of medical devices with AI-based products and components will have an additional set of requirements to meet.

The requirements for AI systems will augment what's already required under the EU's Medical Device Regulation (MDR) and In-Vitro Diagnostic Regulation (IVDR).



"The EU lawmaking process, a well-orchestrated operation involves a series of important bodies, including the European Parliament, the European Commission, and the Council of the European Union. Essentially, these three major institutions work in harmony to propose, debate, agree on and implement EU laws."



What is different about ML vs. traditional quality/regulatory?

Machine learning - The data is what is defining the logic, not human experts.

But this leads to some problems...

- The data might be incomplete (e.g. missing important elements)
- The data might be incorrect (e.g. data from wearables might not be 100% accurate)
- Patterns may or may not be relevant (e.g. might be a coincidence in the data that is not clinically relevant)
- The data might not fully **represent** the target population
- Health & Healthcare change over time (e.g. more cases of flu during the winter what if your data is from spring & summer?)



Al - Enabled Medical Devices: Opportunities & Challenges



OPPORTUNITIES

• Significant positive impact on health care

- Earlier disease detection
- More accurate diagnosis
- New insights into human physiology
- Personalized diagnostics and therapeutics
- Applications across all medical fields
- Ability to learn, adapt, and improve performance

CHALLENGES

- Fit-for-purpose data sets for development and testing, including diversity
- Identification and management of bias
- Opacity of some algorithms
- Ensuring transparency to users
- Providing oversight for an adaptive system



Promoting Global Harmonization of Best Practice

Good Machine Learning Practice (GMLP)

- 10 guiding principles issued by FDA, UK, Canada
- Promote global harmonization of ML best practices
- Facilitate high-quality AI technology development





Broader AI Standards Landscape

- IEC, ISO, IEEE initiatives on AI governance, testing, risks
- Potential adoption into sector-specific FDA and EU rules
- ISO/IEC JTC1, SC42, developing horizontal standards for all industries.
- IEEE also developing several AI standards.
- Existing medical device committees are looking at this technology, and ISO/IEC TC215 has created a Task Force to help medical device people develop new standards create a "landscape" of other standards, collect use cases, etc.
- AAMI & BSI have collaborated to develop healthcare AI standards.



Health Equity - Guiding Principles for Algorithm Bias in Healthcare

Principles:

- 1. Promote Health and Healthcare Equity: Ensure equity is a core consideration throughout all phases of healthcare algorithm development, implementation, and evaluation.
- 2. Transparency and Explainability: Make healthcare algorithms and their operational mechanisms transparent and understandable to all stakeholders.
- **3.** Engagement with Patients and Communities: Authentically involve patients and communities in the development and application of healthcare algorithms to build trust and ensure relevance.
- 4. Fairness and Trade-offs: Explicitly identify and address fairness issues and trade-offs, acknowledging the complexities and limitations of algorithms in healthcare.
- 5. Accountability: Establish clear accountability for the outcomes of healthcare algorithms, ensuring that they contribute to equity and fairness in healthcare delivery.

Goal:

By adhering to these principles, we aim to mitigate algorithmic biases and enhance the equity and effectiveness of healthcare services.



Transparency is Fundamental to a Patient-Centered Approach

- 1. Allows patients, providers, and caregivers to make informed decisions
- 2. Supports proper use of a device
- 3. Promotes health equity
- 4. Facilitates evaluation and monitoring of device performance
- 5. Fosters trust and promotes adoption

Transparency supports the safe and effective use of Al-enabled medical devices.



Transparency: Cornerstone of Trustworthy Al

Data Transparency

Key Elements:

- Social Determinants of Health
- Race, Ethnicity, & Language
- Gender Identity
- Sexual Orientation
- Date of Birth

Impact:

Empowers users with knowledge about the data driving the DSIs.

Performance Transparency

Key Elements:

Clarity on intended use, training data, fairness, and maintenance.
Creation of a model "nutrition label" for easy understanding.
Accessible information through direct display, drill down, or link

out functions.

Impact:

Enhances user understanding and trust in DSI performance.

Organizational Transparency

Key Elements:

• Thorough analysis and mitigation of risks.

Governance covering sociotechnical dimensions: Validity, Reliability, Robustness, Fairness,
Intelligibility, Safety, Security, and Privacy.

Impact:

Ensures that organizations publicly disclose their governance and risk management practices, promoting accountability.

Trust worthy Predictive Models (FAVES)



FDA's Predetermined Change Control Plan (PCCP)

- Science-based approach enabling continuous AI evolution and rapid iteration
- Facilitates more personalized medicine and faster medical device innovation
- Addresses performance across diverse populations throughout product lifecycle PCCP Benefits
- Allows rapid, continuous improvement of AI device performance
- Ensures considerations for race, ethnicity, disease severity, gender, age, geography





Proposed Components of PCCPs

Description of Modifications	 "What" a manufacturer intends the algorithm to become as it learns Identifies specific, planned modifications to the device that the manufacturer intends to implement Draws a "range of FDA-authorized specifications" around initial device characteristics and performance
Modification Protocol	 "How" the algorithm will learn/change while remaining safe and effective Describes methods that will be followed when developing, validating, and implementing the modifications to ensure the device remains safe and effective Methods described in Modification Protocol should be consistent with and support the modifications outlined in Description of Modifications
Impact Assessment	 Describes modifications' benefits and risks, and how risks are mitigated Assesses benefits and risks of each individual modification, as well as collective impact of modifications, included in the Description of Modifications Discusses how activities proposed within Modification Protocol mitigate identified risks to continue to reasonably ensure the safety and effectiveness of the device

Predetermined Change Control Plan



AI Requirements for Medical Devices: Bridging AI Act and MDR/IVDR

Lifecycle Approach

continuous, iterative process for the entire lifecycle of high-risk AI systems.

Data Governance

Ensure training, validation, and testing datasets are relevant, representative, complete, and error-free.

Technical Documentation

Draft documentation that proves compliance and allows authority assessment.

Event Recording

Design systems to automatically document critical events and modifications for risk identification and management.

Usage Instructions Provide clear instructions to facilitate downstream compliance.

Human Oversight Enable deployers to implement

necessary human oversight mechanisms.

System Design Ensure high levels of accuracy, robustness, and cybersecurity in system design.

Quality Management Establish a comprehensive quality management system for ongoing compliance.



34971 ML-related hazards (Application of ISO 14971)

Overthrust in ML

• Risks include overconfidence, underestimating real dangers, and varied levels of social trust, leading to uncritical acceptance of ML outputs.

• Influenced by user workload, company policies, and initial success stories, potentially leading to inappropriate reliance on ML, like in the case of emergency management.

Data Issues

• Security and privacy concerns with data storage, including risks of malicious access and failure in data anonymization, which could impact algorithm accuracy.

• Privacy concerns can introduce biases, particularly demographic-based, affecting the system's fairness and efficiency.

Other Critical Considerations

• Challenges such as failure to act on ML advice, data drift over time, and the gap between data abundance and genuine understanding, exemplified by misclassifications due to unconsidered factors like effective medical interventions.



Bias Management

The information used to create and test a product might not represent the target patient population, and therefore doesn't perform as well on different demographics.

Types of bias include:

Sample Bias

Data is not collected randomly

Coverage Bias

Data set does not match the target population

Proxy Variables

Sometimes you can't have the data element you want, so you find a "proxy" variable, which can result in bias

Implicit Bias

the development team might have their own assumptions about health care and they inadvertently make incorrect decisions based on their experience



Data Poisoning

Data poisoning is a technique designed to compromise the integrity of the training process of a machine learning model. This involves deliberately introducing misleading or incorrect information into the data set from which the model learns. This incorrect information can cause the model to make errors or exhibit biased behaviour after deployment.

The importance of robust data verification, security measures, and ethical considerations in developing and deploying AI in healthcare to mitigate the risks associated with data poisoning:



IEC 63450 Testing of AI/ML-enabled Medical Devices

Objective: Develop methods for medical device manufacturers to rigorously verify and validate AI/ML-enabled medical devices (AI/ML-MD). These are devices that leverage artificial intelligence, either partially or entirely, to fulfil their medical functions.

Scope of Activities:

- Model Verification & Validation: Ensure the AI/ML model's effectiveness and reliability in real-world medical scenarios.
- Data Management: Emphasize the selection, metrological characterization, and management of datasets to support AI/ML models' development and application.

Standards and Guidelines: Based on the comprehensive analysis of JTC1/SC7's ISO/TR 29119-11, which provides guidelines on software and systems engineering, specifically focusing on software testing for AI-based systems.

Timeline: Anticipate the release of a Committee Draft (CD) by 2024, setting a structured path for standardization in the testing and validation of AI-based medical devices.



Prioritized Guidance Documents that CDRH Intends to Publish in FY2024

Final Guidance Topics

- Remanufacturing of Medical Devices
- Medical Device Shortages Implementation of Section 506J of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act
- Marketing Submission Recommendations for A Predetermined Change Control Plan for Artificial Intelligence/Machine Learning (AI/ML)-Enabled Device Software Functions

Draft Guidance Topics

- Artificial Intelligence/Machine Learning (AI/ML)-enabled Device Software Functions: Lifecycle Management Considerations and Premarket Submission Recommendations
- Select Updates for the 506J Guidance: Voluntary Notifications of Discontinuance or Interruption of Device Manufacture
- Select Updates for Premarket Cybersecurity Guidance: Cyber Devices
- Use of Real-World Evidence to Support Regulatory Decision-Making for Medical Devices (revision)
- Pulse Oximeters Assessing Clinical and Scientific Evidence (revision)
- Predetermined Change Control Plans for Medical Devices



MD/IVD AI components review



The state of the art in AI has evolved, and continues to do so, with an increasing and more evident associated risk. Given this and the applicable MDR/IVDR requirements, a team of AI experts will undertake a technical documentation assessment specifically for the AI components of the device.





2023

MD/IVD AI components review

GSPR - General Safety and Performances Requirements for MD in the EU

17.2. For devices that incorporate software or for software that are devices in themselves, the software shall be developed and manufactured in accordance with the state of the art taking into account the principles of development life cycle lisk management, including information security, verification and validation.





2023

MD/IVD AI components review

GSPR - General Safety and Performances Requirements for MD in the EU

17.2. For devices that incorporate software or for software that are devices in themselves, the software shall be developed and manufactured in accordance with the state of the art taking into account the principles of development life cycle risk management including information security, verification and validation.







2023

MD/IVD AI components review

GSPR - General Safety and Performances Requirements for MD in the EU

17.2. For devices that incorporate software or for software that are devices in themselves, the software shall be developed and manufactured in accordance with the state of the art taking into account the principles of development life cycle, risk management, including information security, perification and validation.



MD/IVD AI components review

GSPR - General Safety and Performances Requirements for MD in the EU

17.2. For devices that incorporate software or for software that are devices in themselves, the software shall be developed and manufactured in accordance with the state of the art taking into account the principles of development life cycle, risk management, including information securit, verification and validation.

2023 Bias Mitigation Robustness Performance Evaluation Transparency

ISO/IEC TS 4213:2022 (Performance) • ISO/IEC TS 24027:2021 (Bias) • ISO/IEC TS 24028:2020 (Trustworthiness) • ISO/IEC TS 24029-1:2021 (Robustness)





THANK YOU

Dina Sifri

dina@meddevsoft.com

